EXHIBIT 3

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	deberhart@omm.com JAMES K. ROTHSTEIN (S.B. #267962) jrothstein@omm.com DANIEL H. LEIGH (S.B. #310673) dleigh@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Two Embarcadero Center 28th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3823 Telephone: +1 415 984 8700 Facsimile: +1 415 984 8701 Attorneys for Plaintiffs ELASTICSEARCH, INC. and ELASTICSEARCH B.V.	KWUN BHANSALI LAZARUS LLP MICHAEL S. KWUN (SBN 198945) mkwun@kblfirm.com 555 Montgomery St., Suite 750 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415 630-2350 Facsimile: 415 367-1539 WUERSCH & GERING LLP V. DAVID RIVKIN (admitted pro hac vice) david.rivkin@wg-law.com JOHN A. SMITTEN (admitted pro hac vice) john.smitten@wg-law.com 100 Wall St., 10 th Fl. New York, NY 10005 Telephone: 212 509-5050		
9 10		Facsimile: 212 509-9559 Attorneys for Defendant		
11		FLORAGUNN GmbH		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14	OAKLAND DIVISION			
15	ELACTICCEADOU INC. a Deleviore	Case No. 4:19-cv-05553-YGR		
16	ELASTICSEARCH, INC., a Delaware corporation, and ELASTICSEARCH B.V., a Dutch corporation,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]		
17 18	Plaintiffs,	ORDER REGARDING H. SALY		
19	V.			
20	FLORAGUNN GmbH, a German corporation,			
21	Defendant.			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
20 27				
28				

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-12, defendant floragunn GmbH ("defendant") and plaintiffs Elasticsearch, Inc. and elasticsearch B.V. ("plaintiffs"), by and through their attorneys of record, stipulate and move as follows:

WHEREAS, through this stipulation, the parties seek an order regarding their resolution of a dispute regarding the deposition of Hendrik Saly, the Chief Technology Officer for defendant, who was identified by defendant in its initial disclosures as a material witness;

WHEREAS, on November 25, 2020, plaintiffs proposed to defendant a date for Mr. Saly's deposition;

WHEREAS, on November 30, 2020, defendant represented to plaintiffs that (1) Mr. Saly was currently on leave from his employment by defendant, (2) Mr. Saly had been on such leave continuously since March 2020, (3) defendant did not know if or when Mr. Saly would return from leave; and (4) defendant is unable to make Mr. Saly available to be deposed unless and until he returns from leave;

WHEREAS, defendant (1) has provided plaintiffs with documentation supporting the basis for Mr. Saly's leave through January 27, 2021; and (2) has represented to plaintiffs that (a) additional documentation showing the basis for Mr. Saly's leave for periods past January 27, 2021 exist; (b) defendant does not anticipate that Mr. Saly will be able to return from leave to be deposed before March 19, 2021;

WHEREAS, plaintiffs contend that they will be unduly prejudiced if defendant's experts rely on information provided by Mr. Saly, because Mr. Saly will not be subject to cross examination;

WHEREAS, defendant has represented that (1) Mr. Saly has not provided and will not provide information to defendant's experts; and (2) defendant's experts will not rely on any information from Mr. Saly, whether obtained directly or indirectly, as a basis for any of their opinions or otherwise;

WHEREAS, the parties agree and stipulate that, in lieu of plaintiffs moving the Court to order defendant to make Mr. Saly available for deposition or bar use of information from Mr. Saly, and in order to prevent the prejudice to plaintiffs identified above, (1) Mr. Saly shall be

prohibited from providing, either directly or indirectly, any assistance, information, or evidence to any of defendant's testifying expert witnesses in this matter; (2) defendant's testifying experts shall be prohibited from relying on any assistance, information, or evidence provided, directly or indirectly, by Mr. Saly; (3) should Mr. Saly become available to testify at trial, the parties will meet and confer in an effort to agree on procedures that would eliminate prejudice to plaintiffs from Mr. Saly's late availability; but (4) in no event shall defendant's testifying experts rely on any assistance, information, or evidence provided, directly or indirectly, by Mr. Saly; and (5) notwithstanding the foregoing, defendant and its experts shall be permitted to use documents and communications created by, or within the custody of, Mr. Saly, that both (a) predate Mr. Saly's leave from his employment, and (b) were produced by defendant to plaintiffs in this litigation.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that the Court order that (1) Mr. Hendrik Saly shall be prohibited from providing, either directly or indirectly, any assistance, information, or evidence to any of defendant's testifying expert witnesses in this matter; (2) defendant's testifying experts shall be prohibited from relying on any assistance, information, or evidence provided, directly or indirectly, by Mr. Saly; (3) should Mr. Saly become available to testify at trial, the parties will meet and confer in an effort to agree on procedures that would eliminate prejudice to plaintiffs from Mr. Saly's late availability; but (4) in no event shall defendant's testifying experts rely on any assistance, information, or evidence provided, directly or indirectly, by Mr. Saly; and (5) notwithstanding the foregoing, defendant and its experts shall be permitted to use documents and communications created by, or within the custody of, Mr. Saly, that both (a) predate Mr. Saly's leave from his employment, and (b) were produced by defendant to plaintiffs in this litigation..

24

25

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

26

27

28

1	Dated:	February 22, 2021		DAVID R. EBERHART
2				JAMES K. ROTHSTEIN DANIEL H. LEIGH O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
3				O MELVENT & MIEKS ELF
4			By:	/s/ DRAFT
5				David R. Eberhart
6				Attorneys for plaintiffs ELASTICSEARCH, INC. and ELASTICSEARCH B.V.
7				ELASTICSEARCH B.V.
8				
9	Dated:	February 22, 2021		WUERSCH & GERING LLP
10				V. DAVID RIVKIN
12			By:	/s/ DRAFT
13			Dy.	V. David Rivkin
14				Attorneys for defendant FLORAGUNN GmbH
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
2728				
40				

1	ATTESTATION			
2	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I hereby attest that			
3	concurrence in the filing of these documents has been obtained from the other signatory.			
4				
5	Dated: <u>February 22, 2021</u> <u>/s/ David R. Eberhart</u> David R. Eberhart			
6	David R. Ebernart			
7				
8				
9	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.			
10				
11	Dated: Hon, Vyonne Gonzales Rogers			
12	Hon. Yvonne Gonzales Rogers United States District Judge			
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				